

# THE CHRISTIAN

*"First the blade, then*

Wednesday, June 30, 1971

## The Monitor's view

### Why we print

The Christian Science Monitor today publishes a segment of the Pentagon papers.

It is a chapter, or episode, in the long story of the Vietnam war. Our chapter deals with a hitherto almost unknown phase of the story: a policy plan in July of 1962 to phase American forces out of Vietnam which was founded on a misreading of the real situation in Vietnam itself and which ended in a total reversal in March of 1964.

Before publishing we have carefully examined all of the material in our possession to make sure that there is no breach of national security and no danger to the welfare of any American or allied forces in Vietnam. We are satisfied that this material is of historical interest only, that not a word of it will be of any damage to the security and welfare of the United States. We are also satisfied that the publication of this material at this time is a constructive act which will help the American people and their government to a better knowledge of what went wrong and hence on to changes in the policymaking process in Washington, which clearly needs improving.

Also, it is the nature of governments to tell less than the whole truth to their people. Special pleading, unwatched and unchecked, leads to arbitrary government and deprives people of a chance to give or withhold their consent on the basis of full and balanced information. The proper role of a responsible press is to do its best at all times to tell those things which the public should know but governments would prefer to withhold.

Back in 1962 when our chapter of the study begins, the policymakers of Washington were cheerfully doing their planning on the assumption that Viet Cong insurgency in Vietnam would be overcome by the end of the year 1965. And that was a "conservative" estimate.

This happy assumption about the course of American involvement in Vietnam emerged from a meeting which began in Honolulu on July 23, 1962. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara had gone there to hear various reports on the Vietnam war at the office of the U.S.

Commander in Chief of the Pacific (CINCPAC). At that conference the technicians and advisers to the commanding officer painted a very rosy picture indeed. At the end of it Mr. McNamara asked for an estimate of how long it would take to eliminate the Viet Cong. The reply, from COMUSMACV (Commander, U.S. Military Aid Group for Vietnam), "estimated one year from the time the RVNAF (Republic of Vietnam Armed Forces), the Civil Guard, and the Self-Defense Corps became fully operational and began to press the VC in all areas."

Mr. McNamara decided to play it safe and take a "conservative" view and work with a three- instead of a one-year estimate. And so the planning which began in mid-1962 and remained in effect until March of 1964 assumed that the American role in Vietnam would all be over by the end of 1965!

Yet by the end of 1965 American escalation was unrolling and the United States was on the way to putting half a million of its people into Vietnam.

How wrong can you be?

The Monitor's papers, and other segments from the same basic document, all seem to indicate that there are two remarkably accurate sources of intelligence information and appreciation in Washington. One is the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and the other is an obscure and little known section of the State Department called the Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR).

Over and over through these papers both CIA and INR seem to get their facts and estimates in balance and perspective whereas Department of Defense Intelligence and Military Aid Group appraisals leave much to be desired.

Not often did they underestimate the problem as massively as in July of 1962. But the record is less than impressive. The policymakers were working all through 1962 and 1963 on information and judgments which were totally discredited by events. Clearly, CIA and INR were working in the right direction and the lesson, if any, is that policymakers should listen more to those sources and less to others.

Of 1  
social  
sian A  
ington,  
has t  
dered  
haced



# Civil disobedience

An article written from a Christian Scientist's viewpoint

Law has its origin in God, and good human laws reflect God's government. But human laws are not God's law. Civil disobedience comes into the question when an individual feels that a law of the land conflicts with his sense of the moral law. This is a matter of conscience. The answer can only be found by turning unreservedly to God. And whatever action is taken must be taken under total, selfless obedience to God.

Mary Baker Eddy, the Discoverer and Founder of Christian Science, writes, "Let us respect the rights of conscience and the liberty of the sons of God, so letting our 'moderation be known to all men'" ("Unity of Good," p. 5).

There is a wonderfully moderate, wise piece of advice in the Bible story of Jesus' apostles, Peter and John. They were brought before the council of priests for preaching about Jesus. It was not their first arrest for this offense. They might well have been classed disturbers of the peace. The lawyer Gamaliel spoke for all ages: "If this counsel or this work be of men, it will come to nought: But if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it; lest haply ye be found even to fight against God" (Acts 5:38, 39).

Just recently in the United States, Dr. Daniel Ellsberg has acknowledged that he gave the so-called Pentagon papers to the New York Times against U.S. security regulations. In the flurry of human opinions that rage round the necessity or appropriateness of his action, it is well to remember Christ Jesus' admonition: "Judge not, that ye be not judged" (Matthew 7:1). Anger and moral indignation only add fuel to an already heated atmosphere. And violent partisanship has not been known to help restore a rational calm.

But prayer will help.

And prayer that is honest enough and humble enough to lay aside personal judgments on human laws and actions and to acknowledge God's ability to judge all matters rightly will help the situation most.

The Bible is full of references to the goodness, the power, the ever-presence, and the infinite rightness of God, divine Mind. The prayerful recognition that God is in full control of His universe will help to still fears that law is going to be abro-

that God's law is inviolate, that nothing can defy it or oppose it.

When each of us acts according to his highest understanding of God's justice, we do not have to make moral judgments on our fellowmen. We can know, rather, that men and the governments of men, with all their apparent weaknesses and inequalities, are indeed subject to divine law, for God is the source of all true law, all true government. And we can know with assurance that obedience to God's law will annul any deficiencies that may be inherent in civil laws or ordinances, or in human judgment.

God is Love, and in Love's perfect control there is no credibility gap, no civil disobedience, no disparity.

To the proportion that we recognize the all-inclusive government of divine Love, we will see its perfection manifested in our national affairs and in our personal lives.

*note:-  
In reading these daily  
metaphysical articles  
in the C.S. Monitor  
this article for the  
past 40 yrs + this  
article is the only  
one in which the  
name of one con-  
nected with subject  
has ever appeared.  
H.C.*